

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Proxy Statement                | <input type="checkbox"/> Confidential, for Use of |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Definitive Proxy Statement                 | the Commission Only (as                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Definitive Additional Materials | permitted by                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soliciting Material Pursuant to            | Rule 14a-6(e)(2))                                 |
| Section 240.14a-11(c)   |   |
| or Section 240.14a-12   |   |

Louisiana-Pacific Corporation

-----  
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)  
-----

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.  
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

-----  
2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:  
-----

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):  
-----

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:  
-----

5) Total fee paid:  
-----

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:  
-----

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:  
-----

3) Filing Party:  
-----

4) Date Filed:  
-----

Institutional Investor  
Address block line 2  
Address block Line 3  
City, State Zip

Re: New York City Teachers Retirement System ("NYCTRS")  
Just Vote No Campaign

Dear-----:

You may be aware that the above-referenced organization has initiated a "Just Vote No" campaign during this proxy season against Louisiana-Pacific Corporation ("L-P"). The purpose of this letter is to encourage you to return your proxy for the May 1, 2000 annual meeting of the L-P shareholders and to vote your shares for the directors who are nominated for re-election. In doing so, we provide to you with the following background information and explanation of L-P's position regarding the NYCTRS proposal that requested the L-P Board of Directors ("Board") to re-institute the rights of shareholders to take action by written consent, and which is the stated reason for the NYCTRS campaign.

In late 1998, NYCTRS forwarded for inclusion in our 1999 proxy materials a shareholder resolution, which read as follows:

"BE IT RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation request that the Board of Directors amend the certificate of incorporation to reinstate the rights of the shareholders to take action by written consent."

This proposal received a majority of the votes cast at the 1999 annual meeting but fell far short of the percentage necessary for the shareholders to actually amend L-P's Delaware Certificate of Incorporation. It is important to note that the L-P Board does not have the authority by itself to amend the Certificate of Incorporation, which specifically denies shareholders the right to take action by written consent without a meeting. At present, proposed actions by shareholders can only be taken at the annual meeting or a special meeting. Pursuant to this process, all shareholders will receive prior notice of such meetings and would have an opportunity to participate, if they so desire.

In response to the vote, L-P's CEO, CFO and General Counsel met with representatives of NYCTRS to develop a better understanding of the merits of their proposal. Numerous teleconferences were also held with Mr. Sylvester, the NYCTRS Executive Director. Subsequent to the above referenced meetings and teleconferences, the L-P Board met and considered carefully the advantages and disadvantages of the NYCTRS proposal. After a full and open discussion, the Board concluded that it is in the best interests of all shareholders that shareholder actions be taken only at duly convened meetings of the shareholders, thereby assuring that all shareholders will have advance notice of the subject matter and an opportunity to be heard. Additionally, the Board was concerned that the possibility exists for different majority groups to take different actions on the same subject, resulting in conflicting positions.

L-P believes that the action taken by NYCTRS was actually precipitated by its frustration with a low L-P stock price. We at L-P share that frustration. As part of a new management team charged with the task of turning L-P around financially, we are pleased to note that the March 27, 2000 issue of Business Week listed L-P as the number one company in the Ten Most Improved Companies for 1999 relative to financial performance. L-P's earnings per share improved significantly in 1999 from its 1998 results. While these efforts have not been reflected in our stock price, we intend to continue to execute our strategic plan and move forward.

Accordingly, we strongly encourage you to return your proxy for the May 1, 2000 meeting and vote your shares for the directors who are nominated for re-election, as well as for approval of our broad-based employee stock purchase plan.

Should you wish to discuss this or any other matter further, please contact William L. Hebert, Investor Relations, at 503-221-0800.

Respectfully yours,

/s/ Gary C. Wilkerson

Gary C. Wilkerson  
Vice President and General Counsel

GCW:ck